


CITY OF AMES

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE
AND EVALUATION REPORTS (CAPER)

FOR

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2007 – 2008

CITY OF AMES FISCAL YEAR
JULY 1, 2007 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008



Performance Counts!

SEPTEMBER 23, 2008

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
General Questions	4
Managing the Process	8
Citizen Participation	8
Institutional Structure	9
Monitoring	10
Lead-based Paint	11
Housing Needs	12
Specific Housing Objectives	13
Public Housing Strategy	13
Barriers to Affordable Housing	14
HOME/American Dream Down Payment Initiative	14
Homeless Needs	15
Specific Homeless Prevention Elements	15
Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)	15
Community Development	16
Antipoverty Strategy	22
Non-Homeless Special Needs	22
Specific HOPWA Objectives	23
Other Narrative	24
Appendix I-Intergraded Disbursement and Information System Reports (IDIS)	
Appendix II- Program Maps and Budgets	
Appendix III- Homeless Population and Subpopulation Chart	
Appendix IV- Project Worksheets	
Appendix V-City of Ames Fair Housing Action Plan	
Appendix VI-5 Year Objectives and Outcomes Table	



Fourth Program Year CAPER

The CPMP Fourth Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report includes Narrative Responses to CAPER questions that CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The Executive Summary narratives are optional.

The grantee must submit an updated Financial Summary Report (PR26).

GENERAL

Executive Summary

This module is optional but encouraged. If you choose to complete it, provide a brief overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the first year.

Program Year 4 CAPER Executive Summary response:

The City of Ames Planning & Housing Department has prepared a Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan with a 2007 update for the years 2004 through 2009 that provides a strategic vision for the community. The Plan has been approved by HUD. The Executive Summary and other materials can be found on the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development web site at <http://www.hud.gov/>, and on the City of Ames web site at: <http://www.cityofames.org.housingweb/Default.htm>. Please contact the City of Ames, Planning & Housing Department at (515) 239-5400 for additional information.

As part of the above Consolidated Plan and Plan update, the City of Ames' strategies toward serving the needs of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income families are to continue to seek public input, to continue to invest resources both physical and financial, and to continue to implement programs that will address the community's priority needs. The main areas of focus, anticipated over the next five (5) years, will be to utilize CDBG and other local and/or state funds to address the priority need categories listed below:

1. CDBG funds should be used for the acquisition, rehabilitation, and construction (in conjunction with HOME funds) of affordable housing and for support to homeowners, homebuyers, and renters to obtain and remain in affordable housing.
2. CDBG funds should be used to support a continuum of new or expanded housing and services targeted for the homeless.
3. CDBG funds should be used to increase or improve public facilities, infrastructure, and services.
4. CDBG funds should be used to expand opportunities by assisting with business development and by providing training and access.

The community, at the beginning of creating the five-year Consolidated plan, did not address the above goals in any specific prioritization of implementation. Rather, as a

new entitlement community, and being new to the process of looking at the community through a more detailed analysis, the City focused its first year on being successful in administering the responsibilities that the CDBG program requires, and elected to request an amendment at such time, deemed necessary, during the five-year period.

At the beginning of the second year, the City, with public feedback, began to focus as a priority through the Annual Action plans on the various "housing-related" activities for both rental and owner-occupied (i.e., homeownership assistance, minor rehabilitation, rental related assistance, etc.), and public facilities activities (i.e., non-profit housing rehabilitation, sidewalks improvements, etc.), and some public improvements activities in the area of slum and blight.

At the beginning of the third year, the City, with public input and customer feedback, has continued to focus on as a priority the Annual Action Plans priority goals one (1) and three (3), They are "housing-related" activities for both rental and owner-occupied (i.e., homeownership assistance, minor rehabilitation, rental related assistance, etc.), and public facilities activities (i.e., non-profit housing rehabilitation, sidewalks improvements, etc.), and some public improvements activities in the area of slum and blight.

At the beginning of the fourth year, the City, with customer feedback and through citizen input sessions, came to a consensus to continue to utilize CDBG funds to focus as a priority the Annual Action Plan's priority goals, outlined in the 2004-09 Consolidated Plan as follows: priority goal 1, specifically the "housing-related" activities for both rental and owner-occupied (i.e., homeownership assistance, minor rehabilitation, rental related assistance, etc.); and priority goal 3, specifically, the public facilities activities (i.e., non-profit housing rehabilitation, sidewalks improvements, etc.), and some public improvements activities in the area of slum and blight.

Additionally, over the last 20 plus years, the City has continued to include funding and utilize the ASSET process as a vehicle to provide financial assistance for the needs and service delivery to persons with incomes at 50% or less of the Story County median income limit and to the homeless, which addresses priority goal two (2).

The City addressed priority goal number five (5) by continuing to fund and to utilize its Economic Development Investment Program to assist in the creation and/or expansion of business development that can create jobs for low and moderate-income persons, while helping to maintain a viable economy for the community.

The City of Ames' Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) covers progress in carrying out the City's Consolidated Plan and above-named Annual Action Plan Projects for the fiscal year 2007. This Action Plan is the fourth plan based on the five-year Consolidated Plan for the fiscal years 2004-2009.

The following is a brief summary of the Annual Action Plan projects and expenditures that were accomplished in conjunction with the priority goals for the July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008, program year.

1. PUBLIC SERVICES OBJECTIVE: CDBG funds should be used to support a continuum of new or expanded housing and services targeted for homeless, transitional housing clients, and persons with special needs:

The Renter Affordability Programs were implemented to assist families/household with gross annual incomes at or below 50% of the AMI with funds to cover the cost for rent and/or utility deposits, transportation, and childcare needs in order to improve access to affordable rental housing and gain economic stability. For the 2007-08 program year, \$100,013 was allocated, of which, \$69,568 was spent and 190 families/households were served through the implementation of the following activities:

- Deposit Assistance–79 families/households served, \$54,639 spent;
- Transportation Assistance-64 families/households served, \$8,385 spent;
- Utility Assistance-44 families/households served, \$4,135 spent;
- Child care Assistance-3 families/household served, \$2,409 spent

2. PUBLIC FACILITIES OBJECTIVE: CDBG funds should be used to increase or improve public facilities, infrastructure, and services:

a) The Minor Repair Assistance Program for Non-Profits was implemented to assist various non-profit agencies that provide shelter assistance and services to a limited benefit low- and very low-income clientele population (80% or less of AMI) with minor maintenance repairs and/or updates to their facilities. For the 2007-08 program year, \$41,540 was allocated, of which, all \$41,540 was spent assisting five (5) agencies, serving a total of 668 clients.

3. HOUSING ACTIVITIES OBJECTIVES: CDBG funds should be used for the acquisition, rehabilitation, and construction (in conjunction with HOME funds) of affordable housing and for support to homeowners, homebuyers, and renters to obtain and remain in affordable housing.

a) The Homebuyer Assistance Program, was implemented to assist low and moderate income, first-time homebuyers (80% or less of AMI) with the purchase of a single-family home. Although no homebuyers were assisted in purchasing a home during this program year, twelve (12) potential homebuyers participated in the Homebuyer Educational Seminar offered as part of the Homebuyer Assistance Program.

b) Under the Neighborhood Sustainability Programs, the following activities were implemented: Acquisition/Reuse Program (ARP). This activity sought to acquire, and/or demolish/remove single-family properties and/or lots for reuse/resell to non-profit organizations to provide affordable housing to assist low- and moderate-income (80% or less of AMI) families. For the 2007-08 program year \$553,566 was allocated, of which \$242,339 was spent, acquiring two (2) properties. Additionally, the acquisition of three (3) properties in 2005/06 & 2006/07 were sold during the program year: two (2) to Habitat for Humanity of Central Iowa and one (1) through the City's Lease to Purchase Program. An additional \$41,942 was spent on service delivery cost for the activity for a grand total of \$284,281 for both activities.

The 2007-08 proposed program budget was as follows:

Program Activity	07-08 Budget	06-07 Rollover	06-07 Program Income Earned	07-08 Anticipated Program Income Allocation	Total Anticipated Budget
General Admn****	\$100,033				\$100,033
Renter Affordability Programs***	\$75,025		\$24,988		\$100,013
		\$20,000			\$20,000
Minor Repair (Non Profits)**		\$30,000		\$11,541	\$41,541
Neighborhood Sustainability	\$325,107	\$90,000		\$138,459	\$553,566
Homebuyer Assistance	\$0	\$150,000			\$150,000
Totals	\$500,165	\$290,000	\$24,988	\$150,000	\$965,153
*** Renter Affordability program has a 15% cap limit based on 07-08 allocation plus program income earned in 06-07 (\$500,165 +166,531) x 15%					
**** General Administration has a 20% cap limit of the 07-08 allocation (500,165 x 20%)					

The 2007-08 activity expenditures were as follows:

Renter Affordability Program:	\$ 69,568
Minor Repair Assistance Program for Non-Profits:	41,540
Neighborhood Sustainability Program:	284,281
2007-08 General Program Administration:	<u>115,683</u>
Grand Total for All Programs:	\$ 511,072

Of the \$395,389 funds spent on the above program activities (not including administration), \$353,849, which represents 89%, was spent on Housing Related Activities.

In addition to the above programs, in 2007-08 the City utilized other funding sources for the fiscal year; it administered a Minor Repair Program for single-family homeowners through the Ames/Story County Partnership Program in the amount of \$150,000, and with HUD funding, it administered 222 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers in the amount of \$988,000.

AMI=Area Median Income

General Questions

1. Assessment of the one-year goals and objectives:
 - a. Describe the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the reporting period.
 - b. Provide a breakdown of the CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities for each goal and objective.
 - c. If applicable, explain why progress was not made towards meeting the goals and objectives.
2. Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences.
3. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing:

-
- a. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.
 - b. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.
4. Describe Other Actions in Strategic Plan or Action Plan taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.
5. Leveraging Resources
 - a. Identify progress in obtaining "other" public and private resources to address needs.
 - b. How Federal resources from HUD leveraged other public and private resources.
 - c. How matching requirements were satisfied.

Program Year 4 CAPER General Questions response:

In addition to the responses below, a summary of the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the reporting period can be found in Appendix I as follows:

- The Activity Summary (GPR03) lists each CDBG activity that was open during the year. For each activity, the report shows the status, accomplishments, program narrative, and program year expenditures.
- The Summary of Consolidated Plan Projects (GPPR06) outlines progress in implementing projects identified in the Action Plan. This report lists all projects for the plan year. Disbursements are summarized by program for each project's activities.
- The Summary of Accomplishments Report (GRP23) presents data on CDBG activity counts and disbursements by priority need categories. It also provides data on CDBG accomplishments by various units of measure and housing units by racial/ethnic categories.
- The CDBG Financial Summary Report (GRP26) provides CDBG program indicators. This report shows the obligations and expenditures that were made.

Also See Appendix II for Program Maps and Budget and Appendix IV for Projects Worksheets of Program Activities

1a. During it's fourth year, the City of Ames was able to begin the implementation of all three (3) program projects outlined in the Action Plan that addressed the goals and objectives for the reporting period, as follows: the Renter Affordability Programs; the Minor Repair Program for Non-Profit Organizations; and the Neighborhood Sustainability Programs.

An outline of the 2007-08 activity expenditures are as follows:

Renter Affordability Program:	\$ 69,568
Minor Repair Assistance Program for Non-Profits:	41,540
Neighborhood Sustainability Program:	284,281
2007-08 General Program Administration:	<u>115,683</u>
Grand Total for All Programs:	\$ 511,072

1b. A breakdown of the percent of funds expended (including \$101,176 of program expenses) are as follows: 14% was spent on public service activities, 8% was spent

on public facilities, 56% on housing related activities and the remaining 22% on program administration.

1c. Since this is the City's fourth year as an entitlement community, the continuing and well-established activities were implemented that allowed many persons and/or agencies to participate and benefit for the various programs.

The City will continue to adjust and/or expand its programming as a result of customer feedback, market conditions and citizen input during the first five (5) years of being a new entitlement. It will concentrate on programs that will have a greater impact on the goals and objectives, especially in the areas of direct benefit to extremely low, very low and low income households.

3a. As outlined in the data in the City's 2004-09 Consolidated Plan, some possible impediments to fair housing choice are as follows:

- Lack of knowledge about fair housing laws and enforcement.
- Lack of affordable housing and/or gap in obtaining affordable housing in both rental and homeownership markets.
- Lack of knowledge about mortgage products, credit, and income affordability.
- Lack of financial capacity to maintain and update the housing stock and/or service buildings.
- Need to increase and/or improve accessibility to public facilities (sidewalks, curbs, etc.), and public and to other facilities both non-public and non-public.
- Lack of dollars to address all of the needs of an increasing community population.

During the 2007-08 program year, an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice study was conducted and completed, just prior to the end of the program year. The study was concluded by outlining six (6) perceived impediments with recommended actions. The City prepared a response and action is currently underway and/or future are proposed activities in the upcoming 2008-09 program year to address each recommendation (see Appendix V).

3b. For the program year 2007-08, the City has addressed several of the impediments through the implementation of the 1) City's Renter Affordability Programs for very low-income households to gain access to affordable housing and to gain economic stability. The program provides both an educational component to teach renters about fair housing, tenant-landlord rights and responsibilities, and resource materials and speakers are available in the related fields. Through the implementation of the 2) City's Section 8 Rental Assistance Programs, information is provided and materials, related to fair housing, are included in informational and briefing sessions with applicants and participants. Through the implementation of the 3) Minor Repair Program for Non-Profit Organizations, agencies are provided with the financial means to maintain their facilities and to expand the accessibility of their facilities, so that low-income persons and those with disabilities can continue to receive the needed services. 4) Through the implementation of the City's Homebuyer Assistance Programs, potential homebuyers are required to attend an educational seminar not only to learn how to gain access to homeownership and how to retain and maintain their homes both financially and through home maintenance, but also to understand the fair housing laws associated with obtaining homeownership and their consumer rights under those laws. 5) Through the implementation of the City's Neighborhood Sustainability Programs, single-family homes are acquired, demolished and/or repaired homes and made available, affordable and accessible, to low- and moderate-income, first-time homebuyers.

4. Other actions that the City has taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs in the community are through educating the community by participating in dialogs with other community groups (i.e. Breaking Down Barriers, and Neighborhood Associations), participating in and/or sponsoring diversity celebrations (FACES) and sponsoring Community Forums (i.e. Fair Housing Month Event, Impediments Study, Public Awareness Events, Homeownership Ribbon cuttings, etc.). The City, through the Public Works Department, continues its Sidewalk Safety Program to target residential areas throughout the City with improved curb accessibility features that will continue to remove barriers that restrict the mobility and accessibility of citizens (specifically, low-and moderate-income elderly and/or handicapped persons) in their neighborhoods and the community. The City, through the ASSET process, funds human services agencies to address economic and housing needs, and through it's Economic Development Program, funds business to create jobs for low and moderate-income persons.

5a-b. Through the Analysis of Social Services Evaluation Team (ASSET) process, the City, as a partner, continues to helped fund various human service agencies to provide housing and basic services for low- and moderate-income households. Some of the various human service agencies that received funding through this process, which helped address obstacles for low- and moderate-income and homeless persons, are as follows:

- ACCESS-Women's Assault Care Center
- ACPC-Ames Community Pre-School
- American Red Cross
- The ARC of Story County
- Boys and Girls Club
- Boy Scouts
- Campfire Boys and Girls
- CASA-Court Appointed Special Advocates
- CFARI-Center for Addictions Recovery
- ChildServe
- Center for Creative Justice
- Center for Childcare Resources
- ERP-Emergency Residence Project
- Good Neighbor
- Homeward
- Heartland Senior Services
- Lutheran Services in Iowa
- MICA-Mid-Iowa Community Action Agency
- National Alliance for the Mentally Ill of Central Iowa
- RSVP-Retired and Senior Volunteer Program
- Richmond Center
- University Childcare
- The Volunteer Center
- Youth and Shelter Services

Through the administration of the Renter Affordability Programs, the program utilized both public and private resources were utilized to address the need as follows:

- Where possible, the program assisted families, approved for participation in the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, to help gain access to affordable housing.
- It utilized various human service agencies for referrals of needy households.

-
- The program leveraged dollars with other emergency assistance programs, such as Good Neighbor and the Emergency Residence Project, to help households gain access to affordable housing. This also allowed these agencies to assist persons about to become homeless through eviction.
 - It conducted informational sessions with owners and managers of rental properties and with utility providers about the program and requested feedback on how to collaborate to make the program a success.

Through the ASSET process, funds were used to provide administrative support services to various human service agencies, which then allowed CDBG funds to be used as a source to provide funding for maintenance and accessibility needs of these facilities.

5c. The Renter Affordability Program, specifically under the Deposit Assistance Program, requires the applicant to provide a match of \$50.00 towards the cost of the various deposits. For the Minor Repair Program for Non-Profit Organizations, the applicant they are required to pay any amount over and above the CDBG set funding limit for repairs. Homebuyers are required to pay percentage of the required downpayment and closing cost. Through the Neighborhood Sustainability Program, specifically under the Acquisition/Reuse Program, non-profit organizations purchase properties bought by the City at a reduced rate, and under Rent-to Purchase Program, tenant/buyers must contribute matching funds towards the downpayment and closing costs.

Managing the Process

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements.

Program Year 4 CAPER Managing the Process response:

The City of Ames administrative staff continues to actively attend and/or participate in the various training opportunities that HUD and/or professional organizations have sponsored, regarding the administration and implementation of the CDBG Program regulations. This past year the City has coordinated and hosted trainings by HUD field staff on various programs for other entitlements and public housing agencies. The City staff actively participates in quarterly conference calls with HUD field staff and other entitlement communities in Iowa and Nebraska. The City staff has actively utilized the various tools and templates that have been made available and are suggested to be used by HUD to comply with all the reporting requirements. The City actively communicates with other experienced entitlement communities for guidance about implementing various CDBG eligible programs. The City staff works closely with other City Departments (i.e. Finance Department, City Attorney, Inspections, Public Works, etc.).

Citizen Participation

1. Provide a summary of citizen comments.
2. In addition, the performance report provided to citizens must identify the Federal funds made available for furthering the objectives of the Consolidated Plan. For each formula grant program, the grantee shall identify the total amount of funds available (including estimated program income), the total amount of funds

committed during the reporting period, the total amount expended during the reporting period, and the geographic distribution and location of expenditures. Jurisdictions are encouraged to include maps in describing the geographic distribution and location of investment (including areas of minority concentration). The geographic distribution and expenditure requirement may also be satisfied by specifying the census tracts where expenditures were concentrated.

*Please note that Citizen Comments and Responses may be included as additional files within the CPMP Tool.

Program Year 4 CAPER Citizen Participation response:

1. To be added after public hearing.
2. See Appendix II for maps and budgets.

Institutional Structure

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to overcome gaps in institutional structures and enhance coordination.

Program Year 4 CAPER Institutional Structure response:

The City of Ames continues to be the largest and the lead provider of affordable housing (including being the designated Public Housing Authority for the City) and community and economic development funding for an array of social service agencies through the "Continuum of Care" needs for its citizens.

Throughout the reporting period, the City of Ames continued to invite neighborhood associations, the Ames School District, Story and Boone Counties, Iowa State University, area developers and builders, community organizations, business leaders, Chamber of Commerce, citizens, etc. to participate in various public forums, workshops, and citizen panels to create this vision for the community. For 2007-08, in addition to regularly scheduled council meetings, the City Council continued to conduct a third City Council meeting each month specifically set aside for discussions on various issues and concerns expressed by the community along with special City Council meetings. The topics were as follows:

- Conservation Subdivisions and Low-Impact Development
- Water Rate Structures and Dangerous Buildings Ordinance
- Garbage Pick-Up Times and Downtown Catalyst Project
- Human Services Campus, Context Sensitive Solutions/Level-of-Service, & Footing Drain Conversion Program
- Changing Cultural Face of Ames
- Feasibility of City-Wide Wi-Fi Network or Hot Spots, Ames Fringe Plan Cooperative Agreements, & Life Safety Concerns for Existing Commercial Buildings
- Historical Review of Land Use Policy Plan
- Review Roles of City Council & Planning and Zoning Commission and Review of P&Z Commission Recommendations for Future Projects and Initiatives
- Rental Housing Code Enforcement
- Burns & McDonnell Electric Power Supply Options Study
- Targeted Growth Strategy Reflected in the Land Use Policy Plan
- Richmond Center Financial Status

For the CDBG Programs, the City continued to conduct public forums and meetings to gain public input for the CDBG Programs.

Also, on a program level, through the administration of the various Programs, City staff continues utilizes various area human service agencies, property owners, and utility companies to advertise the program availability to their clientele. This continues to be the main source for all of the assistance that has been provided to date. City staff continued to attend the local Continuum of Care meetings throughout the year, and conducted public forums to disseminate and receive feedback about the City's CDBG Programs and requirements. The City continued partnerships with local and area lenders and non-profit organizations to help identify and address housing needs. The City, which is the local public housing agency, also continued to utilize the waiting list and program participant list in administering the various CDBG programs.

Monitoring

1. Describe how and the frequency with which you monitored your activities.
2. Describe the results of your monitoring including any improvements.
3. Self Evaluation
 - a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.
 - b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.
 - c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.
 - d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.
 - e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.
 - f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.
 - g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.
 - h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.
 - i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

Program Year 4 CAPER Monitoring response:

1. On a program level, the staff continues to monitor monthly the program guidelines of the various CDBG programs to ensure that they are efficient and effective. The overall financial program, and administrative expenditures are monitored monthly. Staff regularly communicates with the various field representatives to insure that the programs implemented are in compliance with the various HUD regulations.
2. Program guidelines are frequently updated and/or clarified to accommodate unforeseen situations, regarding determining applicant eligibility, documentation of necessary information, staff time for the various programs, and/or requiring administrative budget adjustments. Also, staff continues to work closely with the Finance Department, reviewing and adjusting our processes so that the reporting of

the financial data to both HUD and outside auditors will ensure reports and other information is completed in a timely and efficient manner.

3a. Through community forums, agency contacts and programs administered to date, neighborhood and community problems have been solved by enhancing education, improving accessibility and affordability regarding housing choices throughout the community for both rental and homeownership. Becoming an Entitlement Community has also brought about the opportunity to educate the public about the program requirements, and also it has allowed for more feedback and input from citizens regarding the process. The implementation of the Neighborhood Sustainability Programs continues to have a positive impact on preserving the older housing stock and is increasing the number of conversion of single-family rentals back into single-family homeownership, thus stabilizing good and viable core neighborhoods .

3b. Through the implementation of the various programs outlined during the program 2007-08 reporting period, all five (5) priority needs outlined in the City's 2004-09 Consolidated Plan for addressing the low- and moderate-income households, are directly tied to the City Council's past and current priorities and all of its vision statements.

3c. The Renter Affordability, and Neighborhood Sustainability Programs all assisted low-income households (80% or less of the AMI) in gaining access to affordable, decent, safe, and sanitary housing by reducing the financial gap and by requiring that all units must be inspected for compliance with the Housing Quality Standards (HQS), established by HUD for participation in the Section 8 Rental Subsidy Program. It also continued to help create stability and likely expanded the economic opportunity for low- and moderate-income persons, who, in having to qualify for the above programs, either to found stable employment and/or maintained stable employment, by providing gap financing. Through the Minor Repair program for Non-profit organizations, it expanded the economic opportunities through the employment of contractors and the need for material resources and/or the expansion of employment opportunities.

3d-i. No activities were delayed during this program year. However, continued market factors (i.e. bankruptcies, fuel prices, interest rates, increase in the minimum credit card payments) has slowed down the number of persons seeking homeownership, but it has greatly increased the need for assistance for the Deposit and Transportation Assistance under the overall Renter Affordability Programs.

Lead-based Paint

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards.

Program Year 4 CAPER Lead-based Paint response:

No specific actions or programs were implemented during this fiscal year, utilizing CDBG funds to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards. However, through the continued administration of the City's Section 8 Rental Subsidy Programs and Homebuyer Programs, participants are educated about the dangers of lead paint poisoning, and all potential housing units are inspected for lead-paint hazards before any assistance is approved.

HOUSING

Housing Needs

*Please also refer to the Housing Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Describe Actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing.

Program Year 4 CAPER Housing Needs response:

To foster and maintain affordable housing during the reporting period, CDBG funds were used to implement the following Programs:

- The Renter Affordability Programs were continued for 2007-08. The objective of the program activities was to assist households with incomes at or below 50% (except for childcare, which is 80%) of the AMI by providing financial assistance with security deposits, the first month's rent, utility deposits, Transportation through Fuel Vouchers and/or Bus Passes, and interim assistance with Childcare expenses . The goal for was to assist twenty-five (25) households.

- The Homebuyer Assistance Program was continued for 2007-08. The program objective was to assist households with incomes at or below 80% of the AMI with financial assistance (i.e. down payment, closing cost, and affordability assistance) to purchase homes. The goal was to assist three (3) first-time homebuyers.

-The activities under the Neighborhood Sustainability Program (Acquisition/Reuse Program, Slum & Blight and Rent-to-Own) continued for 2007-08 program year. The overall goal of the Neighborhood Sustainability Programs is to increase the supply of affordable housing and allow low- and moderate-income persons to gain access to housing and/or improve their housing status through the following methods: acquiring, demolishing, and/or providing minor repair (as needed) to single-family properties and/or lots for reuse as affordable housing to be sold to low income first-time homebuyers either through City sponsored programs and/or to non-profit housing organizations and to acquire and demolish blighted and deteriorated housing in existing neighborhoods. This goal was to acquire three (3) properties for any of the above purposes.

-The Minor Repair Program for Non-Profit Organizations continued in 2007-08 to assist agencies that provide shelter assistance and/or basic services to limited clientele who are of low- and very low-income (50% or less of AMI) with minor maintenance forgivable loans to update these types of facilities. The goal was to assist five (5) agencies.

In addition to the CDBG Programs, the City continued to administrater it's Section 8 Rental Housing Voucher Assistance Program that fosteres and maintains affordable housing, targeting households with incomes at 30% or less of the area AMI. For the 2007-08 program year approximately 346 families members with dependent childern, elderly and disabled households were assisted. The currently beneficary data of households being served is as follows:

Adults:205
Children: 141
Female Headed Households: 129
Elderly/Disabled Head: 25
Caucasian Head: 133
African-American Head: 45
Asian Head: 3
American Indian/Alaska Native: 1
Hispanic: 15

Also, during the reporting period the City also implemented a County-wide Minor Repair Program for Single-Family homeowners with incomes at or below 110% of the AMI, with low-interest loans to make minor repairs to their properties (i.e. roofs, furnaces, water heaters, windows, insulation, etc.)

Specific Housing Objectives

1. Evaluate progress in meeting specific objective of providing affordable housing, including the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income renter and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.
2. Evaluate progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition of affordable housing for rental and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.
3. Describe efforts to address "worst-case" housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities.

Program Year 4 CAPER Specific Housing Objectives response:

1. The 2007-08 goal for the reporting period was to assist twenty-five (25) households. The actual number households assisted was 190 as follows:
 - Households at 30% or less of the AMI: 132 (extremely low),
 - Households at 50% to 31% of the AMI: 58 (very low-income)
 - 43 were participants were also in the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program.
2. No HOME Investment Partnership Investment funds were used in any of the City projects because the City of Ames is not eligible to receive the funds.
3. The implementation of the Renter Affordability Programs continued to address the "worst-case" housing needs by limiting the maximum income that could go towards rent to 40% of the household gross annual income. The program also gave a preference to families with dependents and singles who were: elderly, disabled, or displaced over other singles in selecting eligible applicants in order to address the housing needs of persons with disabilities.

Public Housing Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to improve public housing and resident initiatives.

Program Year 4 CAPER Public Housing Strategy response:

The City of Ames does not own or operate any public housing units. However, the staff communicates and with private developers who own and/or managed public housing (project based) units with referrals and other types of collaboration where needed.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

Program Year 4 CAPER Barriers to Affordable Housing response:

Below are some program activities that were implemented to address and/or eliminate barriers as outlined in the City's 2004-09 Consolidated Plan.

Through the implementation of the Housing related programs (Renter Affordability Programs, Homebuyer Assistance, and Neighborhood Sustainability Programs), the barriers to affordable housing for extremely low- and very low-income households are being addressed by allowing them gain access to accessible, affordable, decent, safe, and sanitary housing.

Through the implementation of the Minor Repair Program for Non-profit Organizations, the barriers of temporary housing and/or the needs for physical and/or mental health services for homeless or near homeless households and households with physical disabilities were removed. Thereby, allowing them to gain access to affordable rental and/or owner-occupied housing units and received needed services that improved their quality of life.

The City conducted an Analysis to Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Study in the 2007-08 program year, that further identify areas, that will need to be, and that are being addressed (see Appendix V).

HOME/ American Dream Down Payment Initiative (ADDI)

1. Assessment of Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives
 - a. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using HOME funds, including the number and types of households served.
2. HOME Match Report
 - a. Use HOME Match Report HUD-40107-A to report on match contributions for the period covered by the Consolidated Plan program year.
3. HOME MBE and WBE Report
 - a. Use Part III of HUD Form 40107 to report contracts and subcontracts with Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women's Business Enterprises (WBEs).
4. Assessments
 - a. Detail results of on-site inspections of rental housing.
 - b. Describe the HOME jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions.
 - c. Describe outreach to minority and women owned businesses.

Program Year 4 CAPER HOME/ADDI response:

Not applicable. The City of Ames is not eligible to receive ADDI funding.

HOMELESS

Homeless Needs

*Please also refer to the Homeless Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address needs of homeless persons.
2. Identify actions to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.
3. Identify new Federal resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.

Program Year 4 CAPER Homeless Needs response:

Specific Homeless Prevention Elements

1. Identify actions taken to prevent homelessness.

Program Year 4 CAPER Specific Housing Prevention Elements response:

1-2. The direct homeless needs in the community are addressed through the Analysis Social Service Evaluation Team (ASSET). The City of Ames, in collaboration with Story County, United Way, and the ISU Government Student Body, comprise the ASSET funding team. For 2007-08, the following human service agencies: Emergency Resident Project (ERP), ACCESS-Women's Assault Care Center, Lutheran Social Services, and Youth and Shelter Services (YSS), Mid-Iowa Community Action Agency (MICA), and Heartland Health Services (HHS) were funded through this process to address the Shelter and Prevention Assistance for homeless persons, and address those that would be homeless without the services provided by these agencies. The agencies received approximately \$336,637 from all four (4) funders, of which \$150,799 (45%) was the City of Ames direct contribution.

3. In 2007, Youth and Shelter Services, Inc. continued to received approximately \$54,000 in Supportive Housing Program Funds to provide support and assistance to homeless youth and young moms under the age of 25 with their housing needs.

(See Appendix III for the Homeless Population and Subpopulations Chart prepared by the area Continuum of Care Group for the calendar year 2007-08.

Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)

1. Identify actions to address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant subpopulations such as those living on the streets).
2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives

-
- a. Evaluate progress made in using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives established in the Consolidated Plan.
 - b. Detail how ESG projects are related to implementation of comprehensive homeless planning strategy, including the number and types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds.
3. Matching Resources
 - a. Provide specific sources and amounts of new funding used to meet match as required by 42 USC 11375(a)(1), including cash resources, grants, and staff salaries, as well as in-kind contributions such as the value of a building or lease, donated materials, or volunteer time.
 4. State Method of Distribution
 - a. States must describe their method of distribution and how it rated and selected its local government agencies and private nonprofit organizations acting as subrecipients.
 5. Activity and Beneficiary Data
 - a. Completion of attached Emergency Shelter Grant Program Performance Chart or other reports showing ESGP expenditures by type of activity. Also describe any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of this information.
 - b. Homeless Discharge Coordination
 - i. As part of the government developing and implementing a homeless discharge coordination policy, ESG homeless prevention funds may be used to assist very-low income individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless after being released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.
 - c. Explain how your government is instituting a homeless discharge coordination policy, and how ESG homeless prevention funds are being used in this effort.

Program Year 4 CAPER ESG response:

1-5. Not applicable. The City of Ames does not receive ESG funding. However, the City does participate in community forums and meetings with the various Homeless Agencies that administered the Emergency Shelter Grant Programs in an effort to coordinate efforts to address the needs of the Homeless. The City also hosts an annual "Skip-A-Meal" event to raise awareness and receive contributions for the various agencies that provide services to this population.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development

*Please also refer to the Community Development Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Assessment of Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives
 - a. Assess use of CDBG funds in relation to the priorities, needs, goals, and specific objectives in the Consolidated Plan, particularly the highest priority activities.

-
- b. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using CDBG funds, including the number and types of households served.
 - c. Indicate the extent to which CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons.
 2. Changes in Program Objectives
 - a. Identify the nature of and the reasons for any changes in program objectives and how the jurisdiction would change its program as a result of its experiences.
 3. Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions
 - a. Indicate how grantee pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan.
 - b. Indicate how grantee provided certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner.
 - c. Indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction.
 4. For Funds Not Used for National Objectives
 - a. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not meet national objectives.
 - b. Indicate how did not comply with overall benefit certification.
 5. Anti-displacement and Relocation – for activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property
 - a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.
 - b. Describe steps taken to identify households, businesses, farms or nonprofit organizations who occupied properties subject to the Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and whether or not they were displaced, and the nature of their needs and preferences.
 - c. Describe steps taken to ensure the timely issuance of information notices to displaced households, businesses, farms, or nonprofit organizations.
 6. Low/Mod Job Activities – for economic development activities undertaken where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons
 - a. Describe actions taken by grantee and businesses to ensure first consideration was or will be given to low/mod persons.
 - b. List by job title of all the permanent jobs created/retained and those that were made available to low/mod persons.
 - c. If any of jobs claimed as being available to low/mod persons require special skill, work experience, or education, provide a description of steps being taken or that will be taken to provide such skills, experience, or education.
 7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities – for activities not falling within one of the categories of presumed limited clientele low and moderate income benefit
 - a. Describe how the nature, location, or other information demonstrates the activities benefit a limited clientele at least 51% of whom are low- and moderate-income.
 - b.
 8. Program income received
 - a. Detail the amount of program income reported that was returned to each individual revolving fund, e.g., housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other type of revolving fund.
-

-
- b. Detail the amount repaid on each float-funded activity.
 - c. Detail all other loan repayments broken down by the categories of housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other.
 - d. Detail the amount of income received from the sale of property by parcel.
9. Prior period adjustments – where reimbursement was made this reporting period for expenditures (made in previous reporting periods) that have been disallowed, provide the following information:
- a. The activity name and number as shown in IDIS;
 - b. The program year(s) in which the expenditure(s) for the disallowed activity(ies) was reported;
 - c. The amount returned to line-of-credit or program account; and
 - d. Total amount to be reimbursed and the time period over which the reimbursement is to be made, if the reimbursement is made with multi-year payments.
10. Loans and other receivables
- a. List the principal balance for each float-funded activity outstanding as of the end of the reporting period and the date(s) by which the funds are expected to be received.
 - b. List the total number of other loans outstanding and the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period.
 - c. List separately the total number of outstanding loans that are deferred or forgivable, the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period, and the terms of the deferral or forgiveness.
 - d. Detail the total number and amount of loans made with CDBG funds that have gone into default and for which the balance was forgiven or written off during the reporting period.
 - e. Provide a List of the parcels of property owned by the grantee or its subrecipients that have been acquired or improved using CDBG funds and that are available for sale as of the end of the reporting period.
11. Lump sum agreements
- a. Provide the name of the financial institution.
 - b. Provide the date the funds were deposited.
 - c. Provide the date the use of funds commenced.
 - d. Provide the percentage of funds disbursed within 180 days of deposit in the institution.
12. Housing Rehabilitation – for each type of rehabilitation program for which projects/units were reported as completed during the program year
- a. Identify the type of program and number of projects/units completed for each program.
 - b. Provide the total CDBG funds involved in the program.
 - c. Detail other public and private funds involved in the project.
13. Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies – for grantees that have HUD-approved neighborhood revitalization strategies
- a. Describe progress against benchmarks for the program year. For grantees with Federally-designated EZs or ECs that received HUD approval for a neighborhood revitalization strategy, reports that are required as part of the EZ/EC process shall suffice for purposes of reporting progress.

Program Year 4 CAPER Community Development response:

1a. CDBG funds during the reporting period were used to address the following priority goals of the Consolidated Plan:

- PUBLIC SERVICES OBJECTIVE: CDBG funds should be used to support a continuum of new or expanded housing and services targeted for homeless, transitional housing clients, and persons with special needs:

For the 2007-08 program year, the City allocated \$101,013 to continue administering the Renter Affordability Programs that consisted of a Deposit Assistance Program (DAP), Transportation Assistance Program, and a Childcare Assistance Program. The goal was to assist 25 low- and very low-income households (50% or less of the AMI) with funds to cover the cost of rent and/or utility deposits in order to obtain affordable rental housing; fuel vouchers and bus passes to gain access to employment, and other day to day needs; and childcare assistance to gain financial stability for employment. At the program year-end, \$69,568 was disbursed, assisting 190 families/households.

- PUBLIC FACILITIES OBJECTIVE: CDBG funds should be used to increase or improve public facilities, infrastructure, and services:

For the 2007-08 program year, the City allocated \$41,540 to continue administering a Minor Repair Program for Non-profit Organizations that provide support services and/or transitional housing to low and moderate-income persons. The goal of the program was to assist five (5) agencies with funds to complete minor repairs to their facilities (i.e. roofs, furnaces, water heaters, siding, windows, etc.) and/or to remove barriers that restrict the mobility and accessibility of persons from utilizing the services provided by the various agencies. At the program year-end, \$41,540 was disbursed, assisting 5 agencies, serving 668 clients.

-HOUSING ACTIVITIES OBJECTIVES: CDBG funds should be used for the construction (in conjunction with HOME funds)/acquisition/rehabilitation of affordable housing and support to homeowners, homebuyers, and renters to obtain and remain in affordable housing:

For the 2007-08 program year, the City allocated \$150,000, to continue administering a Homebuyer Assistance Program. The goal of the Homebuyer Assistance Program was to assist up to three (3) low-income, families with down payment, closing cost and affordability assistance for the purchase of their first homes. At the end of the program year, although no one was assisted with the purchase of a home, twelve (12) potential homebuyers participated in the Homebuyer Educational Seminars offered as part of the Homebuyer Assistance Program.

For the 2007-08 program year, the City allocated \$553,566 to continue the following activities under the Neighborhood Sustainability Programs (Acquisition/Reuse, Slum & Blight, & Lease to Purchase): The goal of the Acquisition/Reuse Program, was to acquire three (3) homes to be rehabilitated and sold to low and moderate-income homebuyers; No activities were planned under the Slum and Blight and the Lease to Purchase activities for the program year. The overall goal of the Neighborhood Sustainability Programs is to increase the supply of affordable housing and allow low- and moderate-income persons to gain access to housing and/or improve their housing status and to maintain decent and safe housing conditions in existing

neighborhoods, as follows: seek to acquire, demolish/remove, and/or provide minor repair (as needed) to single-family properties and/or lots for reuse as affordable housing. This program, where possible, will have a more comprehensive approach at targeting single-family properties as follows:

- Converting single-family rental properties that are “for sale” back into single-family homeownership. Match, where possible, with eligible low-income (80%) first-time homebuyers through the City’s CDBG Homebuyer Assistance Program, Rent-to Purchase Program, Adopt-a-Home Program, and/or sale to Non-Profit Organizations.
- Assist in code enforcements of abandoned, deteriorated properties, and then acquire the properties, demolish the buildings, and sell the lots.
- Acquire vacant lots and resell them at a reduced price to construct affordable housing units.
- Concentrate, where possible, into targeted low-income census tracts.
- Removing blighted and deteriorated properties in floodplain areas and in existing neighborhoods.

At the end of the program year, \$242,339 was spent acquiring two (2) properties. Additionally, the acquisition of three (3) properties in 2005/06 & 2006/07 were sold during the program year, two (2) to Habitat for Humanity of Central Iowa, and one (1) through the City’s Lease to Purchase Program. An additional \$41,942 was spent on service delivery cost for the activity for a grand total of \$284,281 for both activities.

1b. Through the implementation of the Renter Affordability Programs, during the reporting period, 190 households were assisted as follows:

White:Non-Hispanic: 111; Hispanic: 8
African-American: 75; Hispanic: 3
Asian: 2
American Indian/Alaskan: 2
Female Head: 146
Elderly: 9
Disabled: 31
Section 8 Voucher Program Participants: 43

Out of the 190 households, 123 were assisted through the Deposit Programs (79 Rental Deposits, 44 Utility Deposits), 64 were assisted through the Transportation Program (44 Fuel Vouchers, 20 Bus Passes), and 3 were assisted through the Childcare Program.

-Through the implementation of the Acquisition/Reuse portion of the Neighborhood Sustainability Program, during the reporting period, two (2) properties were purchased, that are anticipated to be sold to a first-time homebuyer or a Non-Profit Housing Organization during the 2008-09 reporting year. The acquisition of three (3) properties in 2005/06 & 2006/07 were sold during the program year, two (2) to Habitat for Humanity of Central Iowa, and one (1) through the City’s Lease to Purchase Program. The beneficiary breakdown of those assisted are as follows:

White:Non-Hispanic: 2; Hispanic: 0
African-American: 1; Hispanic: 0
Household Types:

- Related/Single Parent - A single parent household with a dependent child or children (18 years old or younger): 2

- Related/Two Parent - A two parent household with a dependent child or children 18 years old or younger) 1

-Through the implementation of the Minor Repair Program for Non-Profit Organizations, during the program year period, five (5) agencies were assisted that served 668 persons who fell under the "limited clientele benefit" criteria as follows:

White:	501 (46-Hispanic)
Black/African American:	134 (8-Hispanic)
Asian:	4
American Indian/Alaskan Native:	20
Black/African American & White:	1
Other multi-racial:	9

See the 5-year Objectives and Outcomes Table (Appendix VI) to see an overview of the goals and accomplishments in serving the needs of the community and its citizens through the various performance measurements.

1c. In each of the programs implemented during the reporting period, CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited households in extremely low-income, and low-income households.

2a. There were no substantial changes made to the program objectives during the reporting period.

3a. In implementating the programs under the Renter Affordability Programs, the City utilized numerous Human Service providers in the area as a base for referrals to reach very, very low-income populations. The City is the designated Housing Authority and utilized its waiting list and participant list to reach the most needy income populations. In implementating the Homebuyer Assistance Program, the City utilized area internal and external media resources and participating lenders to reach low-income populations. In implementating the programs under the Neighborhood Sustainability Programs, the City utilized area media resources, contacted non-profit organizations, area realtors, and neighborhood groups to find properties for purchase. In implementating the Minor Repair Program, the City utilized the City's list of approved ASSEST eligible agencies, and contacted all human services agencies listed in the Ames area.

3b. During the reporting period, the City provided one Certification of Consistency to Youth and Shelter Services, Inc. for renewal funding through the Supportive Housing Program for approximately \$189,000, of which approximately \$54,000 was designated for Story County.

3c. The City of Ames has continued to made every effort within its capacity and abilities during its fourth year as an entitlement community. It has not willfully attempted to hinder any aspects of administering the CDBG funds in accordance with its Consolidated Plan.

4a-b. The programs outlined and implemented during the reporting period have met the National Objectives and have complied with the overall benefit certification.

5a-c. Under the implementation of the Acquisition/Reuse Program portion of the Neighborhood Sustainability Program, actions taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the acquisition of properties included the targeting of properties that were on the market "for sale" and/or currently vacant. Under the

implementation of the Minor Repair Program for Non-Profit Organizations, the types of minor repairs that were eligible did not require displacement of the clientele utilizing the shelter facilities or building basic services.

6a-c. The programs implemented during the reporting period did not include any economic development activities.

7a. During the implementation of the Minor Repair Program for Non-Profit Organizations, all of the agencies that received funding under the program served clientele that fell into one of the categories of presumed limited clientele of low and moderate-income benefit.

8a-d. The Program Income Report (GPR09) indicates that approximately \$193,772 that was generated and approximately \$101,176 expensed during the reporting period and approximately \$92,595 will carry over in to 2008-09. The majority of the program income generated and expensed was under the Neighborhood Sustainability Programs (\$78,812) specifically the Acquisition/Reuse Program from the sale of properties to a non-profit organizations or sold to a first-time homebuyer. Approximately \$4,247 was expensed under the Renter Affordability Programs, all for the Deposit Assistance Program activity, and approximately \$18,117 was expensed under General Administration.

-No program income was received that was repaid on any float-funded activity.

9a-d. The programs implemented during the reporting period did not include any prior period adjustments.

10a-e. The programs implemented during the reporting period did not include any loans and other activities.

11a-d. The programs implemented during the reporting period did not include any lump sum agreements.

12a-c. The programs implemented during the reporting period did not include any housing rehabilitation activities.

13a. The programs implemented during the reporting period did not include any Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies.

Antipoverty Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level.

Program Year 4 CAPER Antipoverty Strategy response:

No specific actions were taken during this reporting period to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level. However, the continued implementation of the various components of the Renter Affordability Program that targeted household with incomes at 50% or less of the AMI, provided improved access to decent, safe and affordable housing, access to employment, and economic stability.

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS

Non-homeless Special Needs

*Please also refer to the Non-homeless Special Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing, (including persons with HIV/AIDS and their families).

Program Year 4 CAPER Non-homeless Special Needs response:

No specific amount of CDBG funds were used to address the special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing. However, the implementation of the various assistance under the Renter Affordability Programs, and the Section 8 Rental Assistance Voucher Program were available to persons in these categories along with community forums and awareness events are open to the public.

Specific HOPWA Objectives

*Please also refer to the HOPWA Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Overall Assessment of Relationship of HOPWA Funds to Goals and Objectives
Grantees should demonstrate through the CAPER and related IDIS reports the progress they are making at accomplishing identified goals and objectives with HOPWA funding. Grantees should demonstrate:
 - a. That progress is being made toward meeting the HOPWA goal for providing affordable housing using HOPWA funds and other resources for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through a comprehensive community plan;
 - b. That community-wide HIV/AIDS housing strategies are meeting HUD's national goal of increasing the availability of decent, safe, and affordable housing for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS;
 - c. That community partnerships between State and local governments and community-based non-profits are creating models and innovative strategies to serve the housing and related supportive service needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;
 - d. That through community-wide strategies Federal, State, local, and other resources are matched with HOPWA funding to create comprehensive housing strategies;
 - e. That community strategies produce and support actual units of housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS; and finally,
 - f. That community strategies identify and supply related supportive services in conjunction with housing to ensure the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families are met.
2. This should be accomplished by providing an executive summary (1-5 pages) that includes:
 - a. Grantee Narrative
 - i. Grantee and Community Overview
 - (1) A brief description of your organization, the area of service, the name of each project sponsor and a broad overview of the range/type of housing activities and related services
 - (2) How grant management oversight of project sponsor activities is conducted and how project sponsors are selected
 - (3) A description of the local jurisdiction, its need, and the estimated number of persons living with HIV/AIDS

-
- (4) A brief description of the planning and public consultations involved in the use of HOPWA funds including reference to any appropriate planning document or advisory body
 - (5) What other resources were used in conjunction with HOPWA funded activities, including cash resources and in-kind contributions, such as the value of services or materials provided by volunteers or by other individuals or organizations
 - (6) Collaborative efforts with related programs including coordination and planning with clients, advocates, Ryan White CARE Act planning bodies, AIDS Drug Assistance Programs, homeless assistance programs, or other efforts that assist persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.
- ii. Project Accomplishment Overview
 - (1) A brief summary of all housing activities broken down by three types: emergency or short-term rent, mortgage or utility payments to prevent homelessness; rental assistance; facility based housing, including development cost, operating cost for those facilities and community residences
 - (2) The number of units of housing which have been created through acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction since 1993 with any HOPWA funds
 - (3) A brief description of any unique supportive service or other service delivery models or efforts
 - (4) Any other accomplishments recognized in your community due to the use of HOPWA funds, including any projects in developmental stages that are not operational.
 - iii. Barriers or Trends Overview
 - (1) Describe any barriers encountered, actions in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement
 - (2) Trends you expect your community to face in meeting the needs of persons with HIV/AIDS, and
 - (3) Any other information you feel may be important as you look at providing services to persons with HIV/AIDS in the next 5-10 years
 - b. Accomplishment Data
 - i. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 1 of Actual Performance in the provision of housing (Table II-1 to be submitted with CAPER).
 - ii. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 2 of Comparison to Planned Housing Actions (Table II-2 to be submitted with CAPER).

Program Year 4 CAPER Specific HOPWA Objectives response:

1-2. Not applicable. The City of Ames does not receive HOPWA funding. However, through the implementation of the various programs under the Renter Affordability Programs and the Section 8 Rental Assistance Voucher Program, households with HIV/AIDS are eligible and have benefitted.

OTHER NARRATIVE

Include any CAPER information that was not covered by narratives in any other section.

Program Year 4 CAPER Other Narrative response: